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August 7, 2015

Editor,

Thank you for your interest in our paper. We provide our response to the reviewer’s comments below with a notation of where in the paper our revisions appear.

Sincerely,

Kevin Fiscella, MD, MPH

Response to Reviewer Comments

Reviewer's report
Version: 4 Date: 30 July 2015
Reviewer: Zaher Fanari
Reviewer's report:
I would like to congratulate the authors in their interesting approach. It will be of interest if more details are provided on the training of QI/QIR Ethical Oversight Committees. i.e giving more details on the specifics of the training. It may be helpful to give example on how this training will be important and efficient in preserving patients interest while keeping the review process expiated.

We thank the reviewer for these kind comments. We have revised lines 272-289 to add details regarding the training and have provided some examples of how this training could balance patients’ interest with needs to expeditiously improve health care systems.