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Reviewer's report:

I like to thank the authors for the great effort they did in revising the paper and answering the comments of the reviewers.

All points I made were addressed, either in the revised version or by explaining/arguing in a comprehensible way why they were not included.

I have no further or new major or minor points to raise with the exception of a very, very small thing: In "The analytic process through which that conclusion is reached: should we prioritise the thinker, the theory or the stakeholders?", the "T" in "The" is underlined, which is possible a mistake.

I'm looking forward to the publication of the paper!

Level of interest: An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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