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Author’s response to reviews:
Dear Editor and reviewer,

Thank you very much for your revision and helpful comments.

As requested, we added in the first paragraph of our discussion the sentence: “It confirms the dictum that what is asked is more important than how it is asked” (P13, L293).

We also added at the end of the discussion this sentence: “Lastly, the responses, though marked objectively, are actually based on expert subjective judgment. However, the importance of the objectivity in the assessment of medical students has been recently challenged in the context of competency-based education. A part of subjectivity should probably be accepted since it is not only unavoidable but also necessary as soon as assessment is based on expert judgment” with the appropriate reference (Ten Cate O, Regehr G. The Power of Subjectivity in the Assessment of Medical Trainees. Acad Med. 2019; 94:333-37).

We hope that this revised manuscript is now more suitable for publication in your journal.

Sincerely