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Reviewer's report:

1. Major grammatical errors. The article needs to be proofread by a professional proofreader.
2. The authors need to describe in detail on the study context i.e. the duration of DOH training, its structure, so the readers will understand how the training affect the perceptions of the respondents with on IPE and IPC. Also, it is suggested to add information about the seniority or experience levels of the respondents eg: fresh (eg: less than 1 year), senior GP trainers (more than 5 years), if there are variations. This can be a factor on different kinds of insight within the same group of respondents.
3. The number of respondents for focus groups may be questionable as some literatures mentioned that around 25-30 participants are needed.
4. It seems that the authors paid more attention on the GP trainees and practise nurse responses in the Results section. Less explanation given about GP trainers.
5. All figures should be self-explanatory. Readers may question what is the meaning of dotted, thick and thin arrows in Figure 1.
6. I would like to applaud the authors to be able to come out with the overview of the results as in Figure 2.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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