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Comments

**Introduction**
Page 3, line 22 repeated abbreviation (IPE).
Page 3, line 57 the first sentence needs rephrasing.
Page 4, line 5 When first mention GP it has to be mentioned in full for readers.
Page 4, line 46 rephrase question 2.

**Methods**
I would like to see comments about the language in which data were collected and how did you deal with possible meaning loss with translation, in case this was not conducted in English. I would like to see critical examination of authors' role, potential bias and influence during the conduct of the study, this can add to the trustworthiness of this study.
The place where interviews were conducted? Quite place?

**Results**
Page 7, line 36 rephrase the question.

**Discussion**
Revise the capture of figure 2 and what is IPL mentioned in the caption?
Page 13, line 34 the sentence starting with GP trainers…is not clear (isn't that required in an academic environment. Please rephrase to give a meaning about the role of trainers in the education and collaboration activity.
Page 14, line 50 what is ICE?
Page 15, line 16 IPL is mentioned again without explanation.

Throughout the manuscript, it would be better if you decide upon the sequence of using [IPE and IPC] OR [IPE and IPC]
This study looked at the interprofessional education and collaboration among GP trainees, practice nurses and GP trainers from their own experiences and perspective.
Ultimately, you did answer the questions as stated on page 4, line 44.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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