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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Dr. Anne Menard:

Attached you will find the revised version of the manuscript entitled “Anxiety levels among Health Sciences students during their first visit to the dissection room” (MEED-D-19-00501R2) by Carmen Romo Barrientos; Juan Jose Criado-Alvarez; Jaime González González; Isabel Ubeda Bañon; Alicia Flores Cuadrado; Daniel Saiz-Sanchez; Antonio Viñuela; Jose Luis Martín Conty; Teresa Simón; Alino Martínez Marcos; Alicia Mohedano-Moriano to be considered for publication in BMC medical education.

We have incorporated all the suggestions by the reviewer and editor.
Technical Comments:

1. Under your Ethics approval and consent to participate heading in the Declarations section, please clarify whether informed consent obtained from participants was written or verbal.

   It has been added in the text.

2. Please note that the Consent for publication statement refers to consent obtained to include any individual person’s data in any form (including individual details, images or videos). Therefore, please state "Not applicable" in this section as no personal information is provided in your manuscript.

   We will have it in mind.

3. We note that the current submission contains some textual overlap with other previously published works. This overlap mainly exists in the last lines of the Conclusions. Please rephrase the below section:

   a. Conclusions lines 29-35 “This is an opportunity to learn professional… will be encountering death for the first time.”

   It has already been modified and structured.

4. Thank you for providing an Authors’ contributions heading in the Declarations section. We suggest that when two or more authors have the same initials they should be distinguished by designating them with numbers 1 and 2 (etc.). For example: YZ1 and YZ2. YZ1 would correspond to the author furthest up on the author list.
It has already been corrected

5. Please remove the response to reviewers from the file inventory, as it is no longer needed at this stage of the editorial process.

It has already been removed

6. When submitting your revised manuscript please ensure you do so as a single clean copy without any tracked changes, colored or highlighted text, as these are no longer required at this stage of the editorial process.

We will have it in mind

Reviewer reports:

If improvements to the English language within your manuscript have been requested, you should have your manuscript reviewed by someone who is fluent in English. If you would like professional help in revising this manuscript, you can use any reputable English language editing service. We can recommend our affiliates Nature Research Editing Service (http://bit.ly/NRES_BS) and American Journal Experts (http://bit.ly/AJE_BS) for help with English usage. Please note that use of an editing service is neither a requirement nor a guarantee of publication. Free assistance is available from our English language tutorial (https://www.springer.com/gb/authors-editors/authorandreviewertutorials/writinginenglish) and our Writing resources (http://www.biomedcentral.com/getpublished/writing-resources). These cover common mistakes that occur when writing in English.

The paper has been sent to the American Journal Experts to review English