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Author’s response to reviews:

Responses to Reviewer 1:

1. The conclusion in the main text is too long and inappropriate. Conclusion(s) can only be drawn from the finding(s) of the data.

Thank you for this valid comment. We have now compacted the ‘Conclusions’ paragraph.

2. Neither the work process nor what you believe, can be included in the conclusion section. Thus, sentences from line 45 on page 14 to line 1 on page 15 should be removed.

We have deleted these sentences from the Conclusions and moved them to the last paragraph of the Discussion.
3. Ling 53 page 13, “As regards” could be replaced with “regarding” as a minor correction.

Modified as suggested.

4. Minor issue such as “Conclusion” line 25 on page 14 should be “Conclusions”.

Conclusion is now changed to Conclusions.

Responses to Reviewer 2

Responses to reviewers’ comments are now only entered in this box. No separate reports are attached, apart from the required Appendices.