**Reviewer’s report**

**Title:** Specialty choice determinants among Mexican medical students A cross-sectional study

**Version:** 0  **Date:** 24 Jun 2019

**Reviewer:** Ambrose Rukewe

**Reviewer's report:**

**Introduction section**

Page 4 lines 24 - 31: Are the researchers insinuating that similar factors affect 5th year medical students and "young" physicians in choosing a medical specialty?

**Result section**

Page 7 lines 4 - 9: Why is important to state that 91% of the students' parents are not physicians, 3% are GPs, 5% were in the specialty chosen by the students (which we do not know!) and 1% had a different specialty. This sentence is clumsy.

Page 7 lines 41 - 42: To which group does the 45% interested in rural practice belong? The 80% who plan to specialise or the rest? How does it contribute to the objective of the study?

Page 10 lines 4 - 14: Please, move the last 2 sentences to Discussion section

**Discussion section**

Page 12 lines 31-36: How truly global is the observation stated with only one reference by Kim et al?

**Other comments and suggestions are in the body of the manuscript**
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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