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Author’s response to reviews:

Response to Editor and Peer Reviewers.

1. Your manuscript needs to be copyedited. We recommend you use a professional language editing service. For authors who wish to have the language in their manuscript edited by a native-English speaker with scientific expertise, BioMed Central has a new in house editing service. The new editing tool can provide both scientific and language editing: http://authorservices.springernature.com/

Response: We used the platform Grammarly premium for preparing the new version of the manuscript (https://www.grammarly.com). The manuscript English language was improved and had a Grammarly score of 91 (which means this text scores better than 91% of all texts checked by the platform, a widely used professional software). In addition, we reviewed the manuscript again with a scholar fluent in English. We are confident the final manuscript meets your standards.

2. Please provide a list of all the abbreviations used in the manuscript. This list should be placed just before the Declarations section. All abbreviations should still be defined in the text at first use.
Response: We added the requested abbreviations list.

3. Please remove the funding information from the Acknowledgements and include it in the Funding section instead. If you have no further acknowledgements please put “Not Applicable” in the Acknowledgements section.

Response: We did as instructed in this regard.

4. In the Funding section, please also describe the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Response: We specified that the funding body (a federal research agency that grants research scholarships in Mexico) of the research scholarship had no intervention in the study design, data analysis or manuscript writing.

5. Please put your responses to the reviewers'/editors’ comments in the Response to Reviewers box in Editorial Manager. Please do not upload a separate letter. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Additional files should remain uploaded as separate files. Please ensure that all figures, tables and additional/supplementary files are cited within the text.

Response: We accepted all the Reviewers' final suggestions and observations in the appropriate places in the manuscript. They are included in the final clean version.