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Author’s response to reviews:

Response to Editor Comments received in 20 Aug 2019:

We thank the editor for such understanding, support and cooperation. In light of the editor’s Comments regarding our manuscript, the followings were modified:

Editors comments  Modification done
1. We note that your study was conducted in three countries, but you only have ethical approval from one country. Please clarify if a local IRB from all countries approved your study.

2. In your "ethical approval and consent to participate" section of your declarations, please clarify whether the consent obtained was written or verbal. If verbal, please state the reason and whether the ethics committee approved this procedure.

We thank the editor and accordingly we added in “Ethics approval and consent to participate” in (Page# 20) the followings:
- All participants were only approached after their local IRB Committee equivalent approved.
- they signed an electronic consent form-

3. Please represent authors’ names using their full initials, not their full name, in the Authors’ Contributions section. If there are any duplicated initials, please differentiate them to make it clear that the initials refer to separate authors.

Thanks to the editor and the followings have been written in Authors' contributions in (Page# 21):

1. HA Mohtady
2. KD Könings
3. MM Al-Eraky
4. AMM Muijtjens
5. JJG Van Merriënboer

4. Currently, the statement in your “consent for publication” section of your declarations is incorrect. Consent for publication refers to consent for the publication of identifying images or other personal or clinical details of participants that compromise anonymity. Seeing as this is not applicable to your manuscript please state “Not Applicable” in this section. Agreed upon and ““Not Applicable” was stated.

5. Please remove the response to the reviewers comments file from the file inventory as it is no longer needed at this stage of the editorial process.

Thanks to the editor, it has been removed.

6. Please select the "Assessment and evaluation of admissions, knowledge, skills and attitudes" section when uploading your revised manuscript.

Thanks to the editor, it has been selected

7. Please put your responses to the reviewers'/editors’ comments in the Response to Reviewers box in Editorial Manager. Please do not upload a separate letter. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files
should also be clean versions. Additional files should remain uploaded as separate files. Please ensure that all figures, tables and additional/supplementary files are cited within the text.

Thanks to editor. The updated version of the manuscript is as per your recommendations.

And also the response to the -latest- editor’s comments in the Response to Reviewers box in Editorial Manager.