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Reviewer's report:

Thank you to the authors for their response to the previous set of reviewer comments. The majority of my concerns have been addressed in this revision.

I have made a few comments about minor amendments to be made to the manuscript later, but my most overarching comment is similar to one that I made in my first review: the contribution that this manuscript makes to the broader research literature. In its current form, the paper is clear and accurately addresses the research questions as set out. However, the findings are very specific to the e-learning package under examination. This means that the results do not really have applicability outside of the current project. Apart from combining this paper with a paper reporting on other stages of the development and refinement process, then there is not much that can be done to this specific manuscript. Therefore the decision whether to publish will be a predominantly editorial one.

Suggestions for changes:

Box 1 is not currently referenced in the text. Box 1 should be referenced at the first point where the six step process is mentioned (line 68 to 70).

Line 71: Alter "preventative advices" to "preventative advice".

At the end of the second paragraph (line 100), a more explicit description of the purpose of the e-learning program should be provided (e.g., "The overall purpose of the e-learning program was to support OPs knowledge of and compliance with the six steps included in the evidence based guide.

Line 103 to 104: "perceived as" can be removed, as earlier in the sentence this is implied by "user's perspectives"

The brief description of participants presented in line 138-139 can be removed, as this information is already presented in the results section.

Line 175 - readers should be pointed to Table 1 for a detailed overview of participant demographics.
Line 175 - with the addition of Table 1, the themes and subthemes are now presented in Tables 2 and 3, these are still referred to as Table 1 and 2 in this section.

Line 341 - change "participants are no subject to…" to "participants are not subject to…"

Table 1 should be altered so that demographic characteristics are presented in one column, currently there are essentially two columns in this table with leads to problems with interpretation of the data.

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
**Declaration of competing interests**

Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal