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Reviewer's report:

This manuscript addresses an important issue of education for occupational physicians by an e-learning. The paper provides interesting findings but it still needs a considerable revision to be acceptable.

Major:

#1: The detailed explanations of an e-learning program are needed to lead the readers to understand. In lines 78-92 page 3, authors described the explanation, but that's not enough. Please describe
+ Target of the program (starter or expert occupational physicians)
+ Goal of the program (what is performance goals and by what conditions the goals were measured) in Introduction section.

In addition to that, please describe the evaluation of the program as to the target and goal above in Discussion section.

#2: In lines 79- 80 page 3, "The two main chapters of the developed…"
And in line 81 page 4, "In the first chapter, …"
Where is the second chapter?

#3: Please describe "six steps" in line 68 page 3 etc. in detail for readers.

#4: in line 165 page 7.
How is the expertise of fifteen occupational physicians?
+ how many years do they have the experience as an occupational physician?
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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