This study is timely because of the increasing abuse of opioid related morbidity and mortality all over the world. The authors have done a good rapid review followed by a qualitative study.

The impact of the paper can be improved by some rewriting. For example does the title capture the essential message from the study? Is it professional behaviour or prescribing habits being studied here? I think the latter.

In the abstract "medications that might hold a risk to the community" can be better conveyed as "medications that are risky to the community"or "harmful"

In the background "if their prescription and use is" can be written as "prescription or use"

The background, methodology and literature search are described well and adequately done.

The key messages can be tightened up in the discussions; for practice change, there should be a system based approach and in the qualitative part of the study whether reactive approach was more effective than proactive approach. If so, whether the professional was ready to change the behaviour because of what happened prior to the intervention.

I believe if the discussion can be shortened, this article will read much better.
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