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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for offering the opportunity to re-review manuscript number MEED-18-00780.

The authors have adapted the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments. The current version of the draft reads smoother and addresses comments raised by the reviewers in an appropriate manner.

However, this piece of work may be seen as a "pre-feasibility pilot study" and I would strongly recommend to express this issue already in the title of the manuscript.

I also miss a more detailed description of the "lesson learnt" in this context in the discussion section. As mentioned in the previous review, this may be of importance when considering an implementation study or transferring the model into different settings.

Minor comment:

Skip the phrase „such as gout“, result section 3rd paragraph, line 14
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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