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Author’s response to reviews:

We thank the reviewers for their thoughtful reviews and comments. Included in this re-submission is a word document (table) highlighting each of the editorial comments, along with our responses and the revisions that have now been made. A revised manuscript is also included, with textual revisions reflected by the use of a red colored font. Even more importantly, we accepted the invitation to go beyond our primary research question (how individual schools see their innovations and curricular revisions) to offering an interpretative commentary on some of the key findings and their relation to the 2010 Carnegie II report.

Sincerely,

Drs. Pock, Durning, Pangaro & Gilliland

Update: 14 May 19 -- List of abbreviations added to end of primary manuscript and figure re-submitted as a separate document. Declarations section had already been included at the end of manuscript, but was re-reviewed for accuracy.