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Reviewer’s report:

Thank you for letting me review this protocol about a very relevant study. Especially I find it interesting that the study includes students from both academic and vocational training.

Overall, I see the protocol as clearly structured and well written. I just have a few comments.

Key words: I wonder why you have used the word 'interdisciplinary' here? I is not used elsewhere in the text and it has another meaning than 'interprofessional'.

P9 L5: I think it will be interesting for the readers if you insert a few words as supplement to 'prepared briefly'. What encompassed the preparation and who gave it.

P9 L41: How were the undergraduates informed?

P9 L50: I suggest that you add that the questionnaire was translated into German. And concerning the two other scales - it would be interesting to know if and how they were translated into German.

P9 L44 - P10 L18: When reading this paragraph it is important to watch ones step - which for me meant that I had to read it two times to grasp the intended meaning.

P10 L43: What was the content of the self-developed assessment sheet?

P11 L2-3: I suggest that you elaborate a little on the qualitative analysis of video recordings and interviews - why both deductive and inductive approach. And please insert reference(s).

P11 L23-24: Is it possible to find a reference for this statement?

P11 L25-28: Is it possible to find a reference for this statement?

P11 L34-43: Is it possible to find a reference for this statement?

P12 L1-7: Are these numbers still correct?

I wish you all the best for this interesting study.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
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