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Reviewer’s report:

Please include all comments for the authors in this box rather than uploading your report as an attachment. Please only upload as attachments annotated versions of manuscripts, graphs, supporting materials or other aspects of your report which cannot be included in a text format.

Please overwrite this text when adding your comments to the authors.

Thank you for the opportunity to read your manuscript. It describes an interesting study that is mostly well written. My comments on the manuscript are as follows:

Abstract: on the whole the abstract is well written, though the Results section could be clearer.

Introduction: this is fine

Methodology: when was the study performed? You’ve only mentioned a 2.5 year duration, but you need to include the year and months in which it took place. Also, under 'outcome measures', you mention the explanatory model of Sirdifield et al - can you summarise this? Finally, it would be helpful for readers if the main approach and features of the e-Module could be summarised in one or two sentences.

Results:

- Under 'Participants' - a participant rate for all 3 surveys is needed, ie 121 out of the 803 potential participants, rather than out of the 266 who filled in the first survey. Also, you need to check your figures in the second sentence - both claim 171 participants, though one quotes 64% and the other 70.1%.

- Throughout the results - explain which survey you're reporting on.

Discussion: revise the numbers. Also, do you need the sub-heading 'Strengths and limitations' followed by an additonal sub-heading 'Limitations'?
References: these need to be updated - for example there is only one reference from the past 4 years.

Grammar:
- watch your paragraph construction - paragraphs should be of a minimum of 3 sentences, not 1.
- use the past tense in the results
- revise the grammatical implications of writing 's, s' and s when writing plurals and the possessive 's'

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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