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**Reviewer's report:**

This manuscript describes the outcomes of a survey on global health education opportunities for anesthesiology residents in the US and program director attitudes.

Although the main topic of the paper is global health education, a description or definition of what the authors consider as global health is missing. As an explanation of what is considered as global health education is missing as well, it remains unclear what is exactly measured in this study.

Although the study demonstrated whether global health education was available, it is unclear what the practical relevance of this information is, as there is no information about the quality or effectiveness of the programs; do residents become competent in global health after participating in the programs?

Discussion line 10: ...residents agree that global health training is important... FOR WHAT?.... and exposure to international healthcare efforts in underserved regions is valuable...WHY/FOR WHAT?

Discussion line 18: training does not occur in countries with the highest burden of disease - Why is that of interest? Global health is not equal to highest burden of disease. Global health is about the scale of problems.

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?  
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?  
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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Quality of written English  
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