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Reviewer's report:

I read the manuscript with great interest and would like to thank the editor for giving me this opportunity to review this paper.

This is a not-blinded review, but I do not know the authors in person and I have no conflicts of interest in doing the review.

This splendid report describes a joint collaboration for improving the learning environment for medical students in the context of healthcare facilities.

The authors tackle an important problem and try to solve it using a new approach and applying management principles. They have also tried to evaluate the impact of this approach from different perspectives. The manuscript is well written but there are a few issues I'd like to raise:

1. The main point is that the intervention is not clearly described. Examples have been provided and some highlights of the approach have been mentioned. But to be reproducible more need to be said about the details of the intervention.

2. Introduction of the paper is unnecessarily too long.

3. Some prepositions maintained by the authors are unsupported by references (e.g. page 4, line 57-9)

4. Language editing is needed especially in the first section of the paper.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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