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Reviewer's report:

I think this is a very well written and interesting paper. It is easy to understand and its methodology and conclusions are sound. I make the following few recommendations for improvement.

In a couple of places you refer to the kaupapa Maori methodology, but don't really describe what this is and I wonder if it could be made more explicit - perhaps with a figure. Is the approach grounded theory if so you need to include this as I was unsure what the term theoretical coding was. There are a couple of typos line 50 and the sentence in line 33 clinicians are aware of the impact of ethnicity on worse health outcomes........ aren't you just talking about Maori in this instance, if so why broaden it?

Table 1 - I think it would be more useful to have student survey responses with means rather than raw data as it makes it easier to understand.

Figure 1 - not sure of the relevance of this figure apart from to say that the Indigenous orientation program was the best, I don't think it adds much. Overall very well done thanks
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