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Author’s response to reviews:

Kia ora

Firstly to the editor, apologise for not making the recommended changes as per your previous instruction. The following have been amended -

Editor

Please refer to our submission guidelines to ensure all section headings (including those within the Abstract) reflect these instructions.

Author Response

All headings (including abstract headings) have been amended as per editorial instruction.

Editor

Ethical approval and consent to participate section

Please ensure a statement regarding consent is included here - if completion of the questionnaire constituted consent to participate please include this as your statement. Regarding ethical exemption; please clarify whether the study was reviewed by the local ethics committee, who subsequently waived the need for ethical approval. If ethical review is not necessary for this type
of study in the country where it was conducted and you did not obtain a waiver for approval from the IRB, please reference the relevant legislation/guidelines.

Author Response

The following amendment has been included in the text of the manuscript describing the consent and ethics for this project.

Completion of the student evaluations constituted consent. The teaching evaluations had been administered as per standard teaching practice and without student identifiers so therefore met the exemption criteria as outlined by the University of Otago ethics committee.

I have also uploaded a letter of exemption from the University of Otago's Ethics committee as part of this resubmission.

Once again thank you for considering this manuscript.

Nga mihi

Tania