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Reviewer's report:

I think the authors have done a great job of addressing reviewers' comments, and for the most part my earlier queries have been addressed. The expansion of the results in particular adds a great deal of depth to the findings, and I think illustrates how the perceived and actual roles differ. The additional detail around the methods was also very welcome.

One tiny point is that the y-axes in figure 4 are missing labels.

A second, more substantial point is that the difference between the perceived roles of doctors and nurses is not addressed in the discussion. While the concordance between perception and action is the focus of the paper, there may be some interesting observations to make regarding things that are frequent for one group and less frequent or absent for the other. I think it's implicit, but I can't see any explicit commentary on those differences, despite being highlighted as 1) in the first para of the Discussion.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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