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Reviewer’s report:

I have reviewed this paper after revisions:
1. The English is much better but in places remains stilted
2. The opening paragraph may have some truth but is not referenced. While it may be true that students do not like their surgical rotations in your country this is not universally true at all. If you are going to make broad generalisations then make it clear that these are not necessarily true elsewhere or state that this is an anecdotal observation.
3. I am disappointed that you only addressed half my comments from the previous review.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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