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Reviewer's report:

There have been considerable improvements in the manuscript in light of comments from all three reviewers.

Major revision:

Two things raised in various ways by the reviewers have not been addressed.

The most important is variation in respondents introduced by cohort variation and diminishing response rate. Although this is mentioned in the discussion, some further analysis may help with this, although it may not be possible. Unfortunately this does detract from the strength of the message.

The second is regression to a mean. Although the median of all courses increasing would support this not being the case, this could be highlighted.

Minor revision:

The title of Table 1 should be "Median" not "Mean".

If possible some discussion of the 3 course where no improvement was noted, would add.
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