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Author’s response to reviews:

The following changes have been made in response to Dr. Ingrid Philbert’s email correspondance.

1) In the abstract for the paper, the statement "suggesting an influence of undergraduate medical training" has been replaced with "The association between medical training paradigm and resident attitudes merits further study."

2) "Finally, this research highlights the value of a mixed methods approach in the study of duty hour restrictions, with our qualitative arm yielding rich data that complemented and expanded upon the insights derived from the quantitative data." has been replaces with the following statement "The mixed methods approach of this study provides insight into opinions on the new duty hours paradigm and illustrates several areas which merit future research."

In addition, the following changes have been made in response to the email received from BMC BioMed Ed on 2/5/2015:

1) The survey has been uploaded to the "additional documents" tab.

2) The ethics statement has been moved to the methods section.

3) The author’s contributions have been updated.

4) The tables to be included in the paper (not the supplemental tables) have been moved into the document of the manuscript and removed from the uploads tab.

Please do not hesitate to contact us with any further requests,

Will Tierney