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MAJOR COMPULSORY REVISION

- MAIN CORRECTIONS HAVE BEEN DONE

ABSTRACT: The word "Bladder disease" should be removed for it is not in the abstract.

BACKGROUND: Line 36 should read: Urinary schistosomiasis was discovered by Bilharz in Cairo and it is caused by the parasite Schistosoma haematobium.

Line 51: Should read "bladder schistosomiasis"

CASE PRESENTATION

First sentence should read: A 25 year old man from Morocco worked in Mauritania as an engineer in a water dam for one year.

DISCUSSION: Relate where patient stayed ie Mauritania to either sub Saharan Africa or Middle East. This will make us understand why he got the infection.

- The long life cycle from line 37 to 48 is not necessary. Relate life cycle to patient in the fact that he was an engineer working in a water dam. Hence this aquatic environment made the snails to shed their cercariae so it was easy to infect him.

Line 53: Include the fact that the eggs were shown on histological section of the bladder.
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