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Author’s response to reviews:

Reviewer’s comments

The authors have a very good case study but have not been able to write about it satisfactorily. My other suggestions to improve on the quality of the manuscript are as follows;

Major compulsory revision

Title:

• The title is should read “urinary schistosomiasis” not “bilhraziasis”.

Correction made

Abstract:

• line 4: the word ‘except’ should be rechecked. The abstract should be rewritten more clearly.

The resume has been clearly restated

Case Presentation:

• It should be rewritten with simpler and cleaer English. done
Discussion:

- The authors simply wrote the biology of Schistosoma haematobium. They wrote on the lifecycle, endemicity, complications and diagnosis of S. haematobium. They did not relate all these headings to the case in question. So let the discussion relate to the case study. done