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Reviewer’s report:

This paper describes a unique observation of primary hyperoxaluria diagnosed in an anuric individual who did not show the bone lesions previously described for such a case. I do have a few suggestions for the authors.

1. The English in this paper needs editing. Some specific examples are given below, but in general the English is poor, with a great many sentences that do not contain a verb.

2. The phrase 'medullary bone' is not meaningful to this reviewer. That could indicate cancellous bone, but it appears in this paper to mean 'bone marrow.'

3. Introduction: References are needed for the first two sentences. Additionally, some description of the nature of bone lesions in primary hyperoxaluria would be appropriate here.

4. What does it mean that the patient was 'on nephrocalcinosis'? Perhaps you mean 'with' nephrocalcinosis?

5. P. 3, l. 54, I think that 'aneurysm' should be 'anuria.'

6. P. 5, ll. 29-31: These bone lesions 'were noted' in this patient? I thought that this patient did not have bone lesions. Perhaps the 'were noted' refers to previous literature? This needs to be clarified.

7. P. 5, l. 51: The infinitive 'to eliminate' should be 'to rule out.'

8. Conclusion: The first 'sentence' lacks a verb.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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