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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear editor,

Thank you very much for your critical comments on our manuscript and recognition in traditional Chinese medicine. We have carefully corrected our submission, and amended manuscript were resubmitted. A point-by-point response was answered below:

Editor Comments:

1. At present we feel your manuscript contains comments which are not suitably justified. These comments diverge from what we would expect from a scientific manuscript and read more as uncritical opinions. We would ask you to remove or amend the following sentences:

"From the perspective of TCM, UA belongs to the category of chest stuffiness, and its pathogenesis is mainly related to blood stasis [58]. As a matter of fact, DHI is an effective drug
for the treatment of blood stagnation and is widely used in clinical practice for UA in China [59, 60]. Especially, previous studies have demonstrated that DHI combined with Western medicine routine can significantly improve clinical efficacy [61]." (Discussion, lines 279-284).

Response: Thank you very much for your suggestion. We have removed the above sentences (Discussion, lines 279-284). We hope that it will meet your criteria.

2. We also note you have used the term "Western medicine" throughout your manuscript. Please replace this term with any of the following or similar terms: "medicine", "conventional medicine", "standard care", "standard treatment"

Response: Thank you very much for your suggestion. The term "Western medicine" was included in the deleted sentence (Discussion, lines 279-284) and has been removed.

3. Please put your responses to the reviewers'/editors’ comments in the Response to Reviewers box in Editorial Manager. Please do not upload a separate letter.

Response: Thank you very much for your suggestion. We have put our responses to the editors’ comments in the Response to Reviewers box in Editorial Manager.