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Reviewer's report:

The Authors of this study have conducted a scoping review, identifying 41 eligible articles for this study from a total of 3467 available items from a broad search. In this study they highlight gaps in eHealth technology in particular with respect to CAM side effects and adverse interactions with other CAM or drugs. The resources and gaps identified will be of extensive use to health practitioners.

I have a major question around one conclusion from the study - given that the review identified well-known and authoritative sources, and that the lesser known resources identified may be of questionable quality -does this not raise questions around the usefulness of the review?

A minor comment is around the statement "however, 15 were identified to have been created prior to 2000, another 15 before 2010, and 10 before and including 2019." Using date ranges is more appropriate in this case.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript
Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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