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Reviewer's report:

I think it’s an amazing result. However, it seems that we can reach a definite conclusion only after the Myrrh has been standardized and has studied more including toxicology research. I would like to know the Myrrh had extracted or just had powdered. (Please add the detailed information in method).

If the Myrrh had just powdered, this type of administration is unlikely to guarantee that the subjects received the same dose of Myrrh. So I think the authors need to change conclusions in abstract more obliquely.

Are there any toxicological studies of Myrrh that have been conducted?

No serious side effects have occurred in this trial, but I think that the references of toxicological studies would be required in discussion.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?

If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?

If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?

If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?

If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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