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**Reviewer's report:**

I think that most of my previous concerns have been adequately addressed and that only very minor points are still pending. My view is that if the authors accept my 2 minor suggestions and apply them to the text, then there is no new reviews will be needed.

The suggestions/comments are:

1) In abstract a $p < 0.05$ is stated. Please substitute $p < 0.05$ with the actual $p$-value using the same rule for rounding decimals as for the other $p$-values shown (3 decimals is fine).

2) The excess of precision for the decimals used to describe Median (Q1,Q3) in Table 2 make it difficult to be read. Please use only 1 or 2 decimals when needed, the values like $< 0.000001$ are not more informative than $< 0.01$ or $< 0.1$...

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics
Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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