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Effects of cinnamon bark fractions on Candida albicans and oral epithelial cells

Title: reviverse title, "effects"

determination of …

Abstract: grammar|: candida spp causes "not can"
The background is not well written.

Objective: to determine

Conclusion: therapeutic agents…"antimicrobial activity"

Background"line 66-67: rephrase the grammarLine 66: "accumulate in large amounts" biofilm are structures that formed by both dead and live candida for protection and utilization of nutrients: the author should revise this statement

There is no Kirby Bauer techniques approach that was used? , Line 112-114: this is not McFarland's standards approach? Otherwise indicate? All methods/techniques are not referenced?

Line 141: description on steps on biofilm structures formation is not yet explained? Rather than just culturing of the candida spp on well and wash of the cultures after 24hrs. Justify why selected cytokines were used the rest excluded like cytokines like (IL)-4 or IL-12

Results

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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