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Reviewer's report:

Please include all comments for the authors in this box rather than uploading your report as an attachment. Please only upload as attachments annotated versions of manuscripts, graphs, supporting materials or other aspects of your report which cannot be included in a text format.

Please overwrite this text when adding your comments to the authors.

Dear authors,

I would like to strongly suggest you to review the English language usage in order to improve your paper quality. Please note that several sentences are too long and really hard to follow. Please review and rewrite these sentences throughout the text (from the abstract to the conclusion section).

You also need to observe the general formation of your paper. Please note that in several sentences the unit and the value are not separated by space.

In some cases even the sentences are not separated by spaces.

Some sentences are missing meaning; for example: "Pretreated with 5 g/kg of SMI significantly improved DOX-induced decrease in body weight." or "SMI improved mice injected with DOX heart function, embodied in CO and FS parameters in DOX + SMI (H) and DOX + SMI (M) Groups" or "CBA kit for flow cytometer assay exhibited that, DOX-induced mice revealed a significant (P < 0.05) increase in IL-6, MCP-1, INF- " and TNF. ".

Please consider to rewrite the conclusion section. Some sentences are not fully understandable.
**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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