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Author’s response to reviews:

First to Luiz Ferreira (Reviewer 1):
1. Comments (C):
   After the first review, the authors presented the required corrections. Thus, the manuscript is adequate for the publication in BMC Complementary & Alternative Medicine.

   Reply (R):
   Thank you for reviewer’s affirmation of the content of this article, and thanks for your support for author’s hard work in revising the letter.

Second to Luis Cláudio Nascimento da Silva (Reviewer 3):

1. C: I would like to strongly suggest you to review the English language usage in order to improve your paper quality. Please note that several sentences are too long and really hard to follow. Please review and rewrite these sentences throughout the text (from the abstract to the conclusion section).

   R: Thank you for your suggestions on the language issues in the manuscript. We had seriously revised the whole manuscript in the English language usage and the expression of several sentences. For more exactly amendment details, please refer to “amendment”.

2. C: You also need to observe the general formation of your paper. Please note that in several sentences the unit and the value are not separated by space. In some cases even the sentences are not separated by spaces. Some sentences are missing meaning; for example: "Pretreated with 5 g/kg of SMI significantly improved DOX-induced decrease in body weight." or "SMI improved mice injected with DOX heart function, embodied in CO and FS parameters in DOX + SMI (H) and DOX + SMI (M) Groups" or
"CBA kit for flow cytometer assay exhibited that, DOX-induced mice revealed a significant (P < and TNF \( \cdot \) 0.05) increase in IL-6, MCP-1, INF
R: Thank you for your suggestions on the general formation of our paper. We had revised the format of the units and the value in the manuscript, and carefully revised the statements proposed by the reviewer. For more exactly amend details, please refer to “amendment”.

3. C: Please consider to rewrite the conclusion section. Some sentences are not fully understandable.
R: Thank you for your suggestions to rewrite the conclusion section. We rewrite this section for clearly expressing our conclusion. For more exactly amend details, please refer to “amendment”.
Please see the Annex(point-by-point response letter-2) for details of all modifications.