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Author’s response to reviews:

Respected Editor in Chief
BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine

I am re-submitting the revised version of our manuscript " Phytochemical, In-Vitro Biological and Chemo-preventive Profiling of Arisaema jacquemontii Blume Tuber Extracts " (BCAM-D-19-00376) authored by Saira Tabassum, Muhammad Zia; Esperanza J. Carcahe de Blanco; Riffat Batool; Roohi Aslam; Sajid Hussain Qamar Wali and Muhammad Mudassar Gulzar for publication in BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine.

We are thankful to the Editor in Chief for providing us opportunity to revise the manuscript and to the reviewers for approving the manuscript for publication. We are again grateful to the Editor in Chief, Editor and reviewers for their precious time and valuable suggestions throughout the process. The Response of each Comment is given below. It is submitted that whole manuscript has been revised following the suggestions of Editor and reviewers comments therefore the changes are not highlighted. We hope that now the manuscript will meet the requirement for publication in BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine.
Response to Comments:

Reviewers Comments:

Reviewer 1
We are thankful to the reviewers to review the manuscript and valuable Comments and positive feedback and finally approving the manuscript for publication in its present form.

Comment
1. Please include all comments for the authors in this box rather than uploading your report as an attachment. Please only upload as attachments annotated versions of manuscripts, graphs, supporting materials or other aspects of your report which cannot be included in a text format. Please overwrite this text when adding your comments to the authors.
Response
We are thankful to the reviewer for valuable suggestion. The changes have been done as per suggestion

Comment
2. The authors have worked on the phytochemical, bioassays and chemo-preventive profiling of Arisaema Jacquemontii blume tuber extracts. The plant extract prepared from different solvents of variable polarities display varied phytochemical and pharmacological activities. The authors have followed the comments of reviewers and have considerably improved the revised manuscript. There are still some grammatical mistakes that need to be removed if the manuscript is considered for publication in BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine.
Response
As suggested by the reviewer, all the manuscript have been revised and removed the grammatically mistakes.

Comment
3. I suggest them to use standard abbreviations for solvents like CHCl3 (3 in subscript) for chloroform and EtOAc for ethyl acetate in all sections including figures and tables.
Response
As directed by the reviewer, the said changes have been made throughout the manuscript

Comment
4. I also recommend authors to have more detailed introduction about petrochemicals including their synergistic activities with drugs referring few relevant papers
Response
It is submitted that the background/introduction has been rewritten along with incorporation of said references

Comment
5. English language should be corrected extensively as there are many errors of use of comma e.g.
Response
As directed the grammatical errors have been removed and we also got help from native English speaker for editing

Comment
6. In this study phytochemical, in vitro biological and chemopreventive screening of A. jacquemontii was carried out. Should be corrected as Arisaema jacquemontii, a medicinal plant, is traditionally used in treatment of different diseases. In this study, phytochemical, in vitro biological and chemopreventive screening of A. jacquemontii was carried out. Please revise the manuscript carefully before submitting the final version.
Response
As suggested by the reviewer has been corrected