**Reviewer’s report**

**Title:** In vitro evaluation of the α-glucosidase inhibitory potential of methanolic extracts of traditionally used antidiabetic plants

**Version:** 1  **Date:** 26 Feb 2019

**Reviewer:** Solomon Mequanente Abay

**Reviewer’s report:**

Authors revised the manuscript very well. However, there are still some minor issues to addressed. Below are the points that needs authors' attention:

1. Page 5, line 12-122: Would you use citations that demonstrate very well the use of hexane->ether->ethylacetate-> butanol for fractionation. The 15th and 16th reference citations are not the right articles that support you to do so.

2. Page 10, line 266: Indentation of a paragraph is not acceptable style.


4. Page 11-12: Recommendation: Rephrase your recommendation like "However, further research including in vivo studies and isolation of the active constituents will be required to explore and confirm the efficacy of Cornus capitata Wall. leaves extract before its extensive use in suppressing hyperglycemia in diabetic patients."

5 Review critically reference section of the manuscript for adherence to the journal's style.

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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