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A point by point response

Response to Reviewer #3

1. Abstract part: “Not use for 1st time abbreviation for MHA, MBC write in full word and check for some terms.”

Answer from Authors: Right, there are some abbreviations. We write them in full term and checking and rewrite them.

2. Materials and methods part: “write full term for UTI, STI, TSY, remove disc, check for degree and add names of bacteria and explain the determination of inhibition zone”

Answer from Authors: Accepted. We correct them. In case of explain determination of inhibition zone, we were explained on the 2.7 screening for multidrug resistant bacteria part.

3. Result part: “With different letter (a, b….) demonstrate significant difference between group on data presented on Table 2, 3 and delete tobaromyn from Table 1”

“The multidrug resistant strains of each bacterium have been developing …….. worries future provision of health care services in discussion part.”

Answer from Authors: We have accepted the comments and we incorporate it. Thank you again for your concern!

Response to Reviewer #5

1. “The text lacks technical language. A native English expert should read the manuscript together with an author.”

Answers from authors: Right, there are many editorial and language errors throughout the manuscript. As much as possible we tried to improve the errors using language experts and teachers that teaching at university level. Still it might have errors. Thus, still we are open to take any concern and comments.

2. “The scientific terminology (Genus and species) is not accurate”

Answers from authors: Right, we checked and revised them.
3. “The tables of results are often out of place and the statistical analysis needs the one-way ANOVA test”

Answers from authors: Right, we applied one-way ANOVA test.

4. “The study does not include the chemical composition of the essential oils, so, in the discussion, the authors should justify the lack of phytochemical analysis and the discussion must be reviewed (without tables)”

Answers from authors: Right, we have accepted the comments and we incorporate it. Thank you again for your concern!

Overall: Many thanks for your nice and constructive comments. I learn a lot form your comments. Once again thank you!