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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor

BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine

Subject: Response letter to the reviewers’ comments

We feel great pleasure to be informed with positive feedbacks on the revision we made in the last revised submission, and show our heart-felt gratitude to you for conditionally accepting our manuscript. Simultaneously, we are also thankful to Associate Editor for his kind consideration to edit our manuscript for conforming it to the journal standards. We have, sincerely, gone through the manuscript "Traditional Healing Practices in Rural Bangladesh: A Qualitative Investigation" (BCAM-D-17-00833R1), and accepted all the changes advised and responded the comments as well in the present submission. Responses to review comments have been enclosed below.

We passionately look forward to hearing the acceptance of our manuscript in your esteemed journal, and please let us inform, if further clarification required.

Kind Regards,

Imdad

Md. Imdadul Haque, MPH, MSS,
Corresponding author,
On behalf of all authors of the manuscript,
From Dhaka, Bangladesh
Response letter to review reports:

Editor’s Comments:

We are pleased for Editor’s positive remarks that appreciate and inspire us to put continuous efforts in improving our manuscripts. In this round of revision, we found two comments from Editor:

1. Editor’s comments: However, the English remains a problem. The attached version of the paper has taken your recent submission, and the English has been edited to conform to journal standards. If you agree with these edits, you should “accept” the changes suggested. In your response to reviewers, you need only say that you accepted the suggested edits.

Authors’ response: Thanks to all concerned for kindly helping edit our manuscript. We, the authors, are completely agree with all the edits and accepted all the changes suggested.

2. Editor’s comments: There are a few comments inserted where your meaning was not clear. You should also respond to the comments.

Authors’ response: Thanks for the useful comments. We have made necessary modifications in response to the comments in this submission. We have received two comments inserted in the track change comments box, which we have responded in following way in the manuscript:

Comment-1: ‘Drudging’--- I do not what this word means.

Response to comment-1: We used this word to mean the context while a person devotedly engage his/herself in serving his/her spiritually respectable Guide (Baba). However, as the meaning seems obscure, we have replaced it with ‘serving’.

Comment-2: ‘Among the user countries’--- I do not know what this word means.

Response to comment-2: Thanks for helpful comments. In fact, we have missed here to tell traditional medicine user countries, and corrected this in the manuscript accordingly.

Sincerely

Thank You