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Reviewer's report:

- In vitro and In vivo” should be written in italics.

Thank you. We have italised the two words

Comments: Unfortunately, some errors are still present.

- Flow cytometry data (table-3) can be presented as Scatter Graphs along with density plots.

Thank you. We choose tables to show clear figures. We have also added a figure for dot plots.

Comment: Scatter graph is not acceptable as the figure quality is extremely bad.

- Table-2 is just the repetition of table-1.

Thank you. Noted and changed to avoid repetition

Comment: Now, table-3 is repetition of the table-1. Moreover, authors did not perform the statistical analysis in the table-1.

- How were T-lymphocytes isolated from the peripheral blood. Please add this information.

Comments: Authors did not reply to my question yet.

- Results are not presented accurately in the manuscript. Please revise it carefully.

Thank you. We have revised the entire result section
Comment: Unfortunately, some errors are still present in the entire manuscript. Kindly use only fraction A, B, C (like table-1) instead of group A, B, C in the all figures. Please also follow the same sequence in the all figures (Normal, Saline, Cytoxin, Fraction A, Fraction B, Fraction C).

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published

Declaration of competing interests
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

'I declare that I have no competing interests'

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal