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Reviewer's report:

The article is laced with language errors, detailed language revision is therefore recommended for the article.

Remove 'The' from the opening statements of the abstract and background sections.

The authors provided reasons for the study of the plant in this study as being to search for efficient drugs from natural products with limited or no side effects. Yet a toxicity study/evaluation was not done to support the safety or otherwise of the proposed plant.

Page 6, line 16, the plant was identified by….

Page 8, line 7, you are missing a parenthesis.

Page 8, line 29, use lower case letters for endothelium

Page 8, line 31, always insert a space between numbers and corresponding units and ensure that this is done throughout the manuscript.

Page 9, line 43, change 'grams' to 'gram'.

For the figure legends, there are no indications as to if n = number of animals or number of experiments. This must be clearly stated.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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