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Reviewer's report:

This manuscript reports on "Phytochemical investigation and nephroprotective potential of Sida cordata in Rat". Below are the comments:

Overall, the manuscript requires extensive English editing.

Introduction: This section is too lengthy and paragraphing is awkward. Authors must rewrite the whole section and arrange the useful information accordingly.

Materials and methods:

- Why CCl4 used as nephrotoxicity ?. It was used as hepatotoxicity

- Specific parameters for renal function should be done as Osteopontin.

Results: The histology results are not properly evaluated and presented.

Discussion: Discussion is too lengthy and is composed mainly of restatement of general information.

Conclusion: The current data and experiments do not support conclusion and title of the manuscript as the study is too preliminary.

Figures: Quality of Figures must be improved.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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