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Author’s response to reviews:

To Editor:

Thank you for your letter and for your comments concerning our manuscript entitled “Electroacupuncture at LI11 Promotes Jejunal Motility via the Parasympathetic Pathway”. These comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made corrections which we hope meet with approval.

The responds to the reviewer’s comments are as follows:
Comment 1: Language should be checked with native speakers.

Response to Comment 1:

I am sorry for the language in the manuscript. I have send it to Nature Research Editing Service to ask for professional help in revising this manuscript. Now this manuscript has been improved.

Comment 2: In the abstract, "cβ2/-" migh be typo. please revise it. In addition, describe the full terms of "β1β2/-, M2M3/-" first.

Response to Comment 2:

We are sorry that we made a mistake in typo. According to your suggestion, we describe the full terms of "β1β2/-, M2M3/-". (Page 1, line 19).

Comment 3: The authors insist that " there is enough supporting clinical evidence [17-19]" However, the references they used (i.e. protocol paper etc) cannot support their hypothesis. Please use the more adequate and closely linked clinical evidences.


Response to Comment 3:

We checked the three references[17-19] we used, and we supplemented some references[17-26] that must be stronger support our hypothesis.


Comment

4: Fig 3-4

Is Pre-EA/dur-EA right? Is that mean dur-EA/pre-EA??

Response to Comment 4:

We are sorry that we made a mistake in Fig3 and Fig4. We have already altered the labels into “dur-EA/pre-EA”.
Comment 5: Why are the baseline data of pre-EA among control, pro, and clen so different?

Response to Comment 5:

In our experiment, the baseline data of pre-EA stayed at the same level at the begin. After the drugs (clen, prop, ACh, atropine) used, the states of jejunal movement changed into different levels because of the pharmacological action in the four drug-used groups. The control group kept the original level. We described states after administration in the results of our manuscript. That was why the baseline data of pre-EA among different groups seemed so different.