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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor,

I wish to submit a revised manuscript entitled “Assessment of cytotoxicity and genotoxicity stem bark extracts from Canarium odontophyllum Miq. (dabai) against HCT 116 human colorectal cancer cell line” after the following corrections:

(i) Title of article: ‘human colorectal cell line HCT 116’ has been changed to ‘HCT 116 human colorectal cancer cell line’

(ii) The co-author’s name and superscript number was correctly changed from ‘Chan Kok Meng1’ to ‘Kok Meng Chan2’ with the addition of a new affiliation in the revised manuscript.

(iii) The co-author’s name ‘Elda Surhaida Latif’ was deleted because she did not contribute in the editing of the manuscript nor in the approval of the manuscript. As such, her email address on cover page and author’s contributions on page 19 are deleted accordingly.

(iv) Keyword ‘Normal Colon’ was deleted as the authors found this unnecessary to be included.

(v) Abstract Page 2:

Line 4: ‘human colorectal cell line HCT 116’ has changed to ‘HCT 116 human colorectal cancer cell line’

Line 5-8: The author has split up the sentence because the original sentence was too long.

Line 9: The author has added ‘induced’ after the words ‘cell death’
Line 10: The author has added ‘induced by the extract in’ after the words ‘The DNA damage’

Line 11-12: The author has rephrased the statement as highlighted in the revised manuscript.

(vi) Results Page 2:

Line 13: The author has deleted ‘The findings showed that’

Line 15-16: The author has rephrased the statement as highlighted in the revised manuscript because the original statement was not clear.

(vii) Page 4: The last line ‘human colorectal cell line HCT 116’ has been changed to ‘HCT 116 human colorectal cancer cell line’

(viii) Page 12 last line: The author has added a statement that referred to figure 10. Therefore Figure 10 will be uploaded together with the revised manuscript.

(ix) Page 15 last line: the word ‘induce’ was changed to ‘induced’ as in the revised manuscript.

(x) Page 16 line 3: The author has corrected the spelling ‘sporophil’ to ‘sporophyll’

(xi) Page 19 line 4: The author has corrected the spelling of ‘Iodode’ to ‘iodide’

(xii) Page 19 last line: The author has added another research grant code which cofunded the work as in the revised manuscript.

(xiii) In response to reviewer #2 comment, the author wish to rebuttle the suggestion by the reviewer to include reference for calculation of IC50 in Method because the author has included this information in MTT assay ref [16] on page 6 of the original manuscript.