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Although the authors have improved the quality of their manuscript, I am not satisfied with the following response/s of the authors to the reviewer/s:

“As we mentioned above we do not have facilities to perform toxicity assays at the moment, the toxicity study has been suggested as perspective in the conclusion.”

Cytotoxicity of the test plants’ extracts on normal cells must be demonstrated to report the biological activity, such as antibacterial/anticancer activities of those extracts. Hence, the present study must demonstrate the cytotoxicity test of these plants extract on some normal cells such as RBC etc. In addition, to check the toxicity, it does not require any advance facilities. Simply, they can perform Hemolysis test using human red blood cells to determine cellular toxicity of their extracts at different concentrations.

Furthermore, the toxicity study can not be suggested as perspective in the conclusion of a manuscript, which reports the antibacterial activity of some plants. The last line of ‘conclusion’, “Toxicological assays will also be performed to evaluate the safety of this plant.” must be deleted.

Still there are several grammatical/syntax errors in this manuscript such as “--taking in account—”; “---could be potential---”; “The results obtained in this study especially---.”; “As results, synergistic effects ---.”; “The best percentages of synergistic effect (100%) was obtained--.” etc.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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