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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor,

We have gone through the manuscript and effected all necessary changes as suggested by the reviewers.

Below are point by point responses to second referees 15 concerns;

Referee 2:

1. Replaced “excitement” with “relevance”

2. I modified the background information and deleted lines 52-72 and 86-88. In regard to more information about how the plant is used in Kenya, little is documented and it was this information gap that prompted this study as explained in lines 79-83.

3. I have defined all the abbreviations when introduced for the first time.

4. I have provided the company names and their origins

5. Modified line 254 as requested

6. Deleted lines 296-319, and I have rewritten and rephrased lines 320-334 to capture only the finding of this study.

7. I have included appropriate citation to prop our findings in paragraph of lines 344-356

8. The paragraph of lines 385-392 has been rewritten with appropriate referencing done to support our findings. We believe that now it’s flowing very well.

9. Changed “output” to “outcome” in lines 392
10. The suggested line 396 for deletion is deleted
11. Sentence of line 416-418 has been modified to be in tandem with the limits of our findings and what is published.
12. Lines 421-425 deleted as suggested and the conclusion part repackaged to capture the findings, relevance and future direction.
13. We rechecked any typo and grammatical errors in the manuscript
14. All tables have been rechecked to delete the word result and include the name of plant.
15. I have made the manuscript following the journals requirement.

Best regards
Njeru SN