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**Reviewer's report:**

I would to thank you for the opportunity of contributing to this journal. Also, I would like to inform you my considerations about the manuscript “Activity of Porophyllum ruderale leaf extract and 670-nm InGaP laser during burns repair in rats”. The paper was well written and I wish send some suggestion:

**Metodology**

**Minor**

It would be interesting to describe what the protocol used in the care of burns for all groups.

**Discussion**

**Major**

Line 319- it is described the methodology used for specimens of the PL group. This information is missing at the Methodology. It is reported that the P ruderale extract was applied prior to laser therapy, and that green pigments present could have changed the action of this association. This is a fatal failure on the experimental design, which affect the analysis of the results for the experimental group PL, considering the histological analyses.

**Level of interest:** An article of limited interest

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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