Author's response to reviews

Title: Neuroprotective effect of Tagara-an ayurvedic drug against oxidative stress induced by methyl mercury toxicity in rat brain mitochondrial enriched fractions

Authors:

Dhanoop Manikoth Ayyathan (dhanoop@vit.ac.in)
Rajasekaran Chandrasekaran (drors70@gmail.com)
Kalaivani Thiagarajan (kalaivanit@vit.ac.in)

Version: 6  Date: 8 July 2015

Author's response to reviews: see over
To
The Editor-in-Chief,
BMC Complementary and Alternative medicine.

Sub: Submission of revised manuscript (MS: 1772447249133124) entitled “Neuroprotective Effect of Tagara - an Ayurvedic drug against Oxidative Stress Induced by Methyl Mercury Toxicity in Rat Brain Mitochondrial Enriched Fractions” - Reg.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your mail dated on 17th June 2015, We thoroughly revised the manuscript and addressed all the points raised by the reviewers. The suggestions were incorporated in the revised manuscript (Reply to reviewers comments is enclosed). I request you to consider for publication in this esteemed journal.

Sincerely,

Dr. T. Kalaivani,
Associate Professor (Senior),
Biomedical Sciences Division,
School of Biosciences and Technology,
VIT University,
Vellore-632014,
INDIA.
Reply to Reviewers Comments

Reviewer 2: Jeferson Franco
Q: Authors should at least cite the papers in which they copied the material and methods and some parts of the main text.
A: It is completely modified and revised to avoid plagiarism and properly cited.

Reviewer 3: Ahmed Abdel Moneim
Major Compulsory Revisions
Q1. Rearrange the data to be in the following order, HPLC, Phytochemical analysis.
A: The data are rearranged as per the suggestion given by the reviewer.
Q2. Modify legends of the figures
A: This is also done in the revised manuscript

Minor Essential Revisions
Q3. Remove comma and year from Franco et al., 2007 [16]. Mitochondrial enriched fractions were prepared essentially as described by Franco et al. [17]
Put comma before respectively.
A: All these corrections incorporated in the revised manuscript.

Editorial comments:

Q: We have again run your manuscript through our plagiarism detection software and, while improved, we still feel that there is too great a degree of overlap in the text of your manuscript with previously published work, both from yourselves and from other groups. We must therefore again ask you to revise your manuscript in order to remove as much of this overlap as possible.
A: It is fully revised and necessary corrections incorporated in the revised manuscript to avoid plagiarism.