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Reviewer's report:

The reviewer’s comments have been addressed and the manuscript has improved.

There are still plenty of mistakes and misused words (e.g. Page 3, line 31 “species”, the constant unusual usage of the word “due” in the meaning of “because of” or “dependent on”), please revise thoroughly.

Some sentences are difficult to understand, e.g. page 7, line 187/188, do the authors mean that solution A was added to solution B before the experiment?

Page 7, line 218, the concentrations should be localized after H2O2, not after the word addition. The sentence is difficult to understand.

Quite of often, punctuations are double (“..” or “,”), e.g. Page 10 line 346.
Please have a space between number and unit.

A control set to 100% is not arbitrary.

The discussion could be shortened and be more focused. The second paragraph on page 22 can be deleted completely.

A conclusion should be helpful.

Figure 9, the bars are not distinguishable.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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