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Dear editor,

This is a revision of the manuscript submitted in BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine entitled “Neuroprotective effects of *Eucommiae Ulmoides* Oliv. and its bioactive constituent work via ameliorating the ubiquitin-proteosome system” (ID: 1235502227142721). Thank you for your letter. We have made correction that you recommend. The changes were marked-up by the function of amendment in the revision.

To editorial:

We note that the ethics statement in your manuscript has reverted back to the non-specific version that was in your original submission to us. We would therefore ask you to again change this to the more specific version in which it is declared that your study was submitted to, and approved by, your institutional ethics committee. Please also remember to ensure that the full name of your ethics committee should be included in this statement.

We thank the editorial for the comment and apologize for our unclear statement in our original manuscript. We have changed this to the more specific version in the revision. The changes were marked-up by the function of amendment.

Again, we sincerely appreciate the time and effort by the Editor in the critique of this manuscript.

With best regards,

Hong Guo